



NOV 0 8 2002

TECH GENTER PROCKED No. 05725.0877

5

Application No.: 09/820,856

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	)
David W. CANNELL et al.	) ) Group Art Unit: 1615 \
Application No.: 09/820,856	) Examiner: J. Venkat
Filed: March 30, 2001	) )
For: HEAT ACTIVATED DURABLE STYLING COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING C <sub>1</sub> TO C <sub>22</sub> SUBSTITUTED C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>5</sub> MONOSACCHARIDES AND METHODS FOR SAME	) ) )

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In the Office Action mailed September 6, 2002, the Examiner has required restriction between the following groups of claims:

Group I Claims 1-56, drawn to a composition comprising at least one film

forming agent and at least one compound chosen from C<sub>3</sub> to C<sub>5</sub> monosaccharides substituted with at least one C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>22</sub> carbon

chain, classified in class 424, subclass 70.11 to 70.17;

Group II Claims 57-116, drawn to a method for durable non-permanent

shaping or for durable retention of a non-permanent shape with a composition comprising at least one film forming agent and at least one compound chosen from C<sub>3</sub> to C<sub>5</sub> monosaccharides substituted with at least one C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>22</sub> carbon chain, classified in class 424,

subclass 70.11 to 70.17;

Group III Claims 117-166, drawn to a method for durable non-permanent

shaping or for durable retention of a non-permanent shape with a composition comprising at least one film forming agent and at least

FINNEGAN FARABOW GARRETT& DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 202.408.4000 Fax 202.408.4400 www.finnegan.com

Attorney Docket No. 05725.0877 Application No.: 09/820,856

one compound chosen from  $C_3$  to  $C_5$  monosaccharides substituted with at least one  $C_1$  to  $C_{22}$  carbon chain, classified in class 424, subclass 70.1;

Group IV

Claims 167-212, drawn to a composition comprising at least one compound chosen from  $C_3$  to  $C_5$  monosaccharides substituted with at least one  $C_1$  to  $C_{22}$  carbon chain, classified in class 424, subclass 70.1;

**Group V** 

Claims 213-216, drawn to a kit wherein at least one compartment comprises a composition comprising at least one compound chosen from  $C_3$  to  $C_5$  monosaccharides substituted with at least one  $C_1$  to  $C_{22}$  carbon chain, classified in class 132, subclass 286+.

The restriction requirement, as set forth above and on pages 2-3 of the Office Action, is respectfully traversed. However, to be fully responsive to the restriction requirement, Applicants elect, with traverse, the subject matter of Group I claims 1-56.

The Examiner states that the inventions are distinct because they are related as a "product and process of use" (Inventions I and II, Inventions III and IV), or as a "process and apparatus for its practice" (Inventions I or IV and V). See pages 3-4 of the present Office Action. Additionally, Inventions I and IV are unrelated because "Group I has two components and inventions IV has only one component." Page 3 of present Office Action. This rationale is also applied to show that Inventions II and III are unrelated.

Applicants refer the Examiner to M.P.E.P. § 803, which sets forth the criteria and guidelines for Examiners to follow in making proper requirements for restriction. The M.P.E.P. instructs Examiners as follows:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without <u>s rious burd n</u>, the Office <u>must</u> examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT& DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 202.408.4000 Fax 202.408.4400 www.finnegan.com

Attorney Docket No. 05725.0877 Application No.: 09/820,856

M.P.E.P. § 803 (emphasis added).

Here, the Examiner has not shown that examining the above groups together would constitute a serious burden. According to the present Office Action, Inventions I - IV are all classified in the identical class 424. Moreover, Inventions I and II share the same subclass (70.11 to 70.17), as do Inventions III and IV (70.1). Finally, claim 1 of Invention I and claim 213 of Invention V require a composition comprising at least one compound chosen from C<sub>3</sub> to C<sub>5</sub> monosaccharides substituted with at least one C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>22</sub> carbon chain where the at least one compound is present in an amount effective to impart a durable non-permanent shape to at least one keratinous fiber or to durably retain a non-permanent shape of the at least one keratinous fiber. Accordingly, a search for these groups of claims will substantially, if not completely, overlap. Thus, for at least this reason, Applicants respectfully submit that the restriction requirement is in error and request that the requirement be withdrawn.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would be useful in resolving any outstanding issues, he is invited to call the undersigned at (202) 408-4173.

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT& DUNNER LLP

1300 l Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 202.408.4000 Fax 202.408.4400 www.finnegan.com

Attorney Docket No. 05725.0877 Application No.: 09/820,856

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P

Malia V. Warnemet, rag No.

By: for arthony C. Judico

Anthony C. Tridico

Reg. No. 45,958

Date: November 5, 2002

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT& DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 202.408.4000 Fax 202.408.4400 www.finnegan.com